

The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete*

*Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925), The Ego and the Id and the Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is

necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts

of the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where

should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on

the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without*

needing to store anything on disk. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly

impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative

firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You

Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To

provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press, London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html> >]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264) >]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*'s servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Library of Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*'s servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Library of Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*'s servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press, London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*'s servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html> >]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264) >]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [[zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m), p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of

up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about 10<sup>4677</sup> books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by

James Strachey (New York: Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at

one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties

of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable* with *another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem

of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida,

Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the

program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by

designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or

sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by

Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay,

but, for practicality's sake, *Library of Babel*, provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects

of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' <

<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load

the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-GOOD-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html> >]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264]

< [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA,

2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutation algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutation algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutation algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgkllvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutation algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed

page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible

demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' <

[GB.pdf](#)> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Library of Babel*. The *Library of Babel* contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the *Library*, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, *Library of Babel* provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The

permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume

entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan

Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no

reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with

any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to 'chunk' data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library's* servers and on users' browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud,

"A Note Upon the 'Mystic-Writing Pad'" in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) *The Ego and the Id and Other Works*, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable, presentable, readable, and combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile's *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, 'About' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has 'a view' towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book ['Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper' (Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality's sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless

page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile’s *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. ‘Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.’ [zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an ‘absolute memory’ [Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, ‘A Note Upon the “Mystic-Writing Pad”’, in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile’s solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, ‘Grains of Sand’ < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], my italics]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign

our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour [‘you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*’. Bruno Latour, ‘Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together’ <<http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a ‘defensive’ strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author. My critics will, with any luck, be thwarted by the verifiable presence of this entire text within Basile’s *Babel*. The Library of Babel contains every possible page in the world—or rather, every possible combination of the standard Western alphanumeric character set, of up to 3,200 characters. At present it contains about  $10^{4677}$  books [Jonathan Basile, ‘About’ <<https://libraryofbabel.info/About.html>>]. Despite the digital medium of the Library, it is still nonetheless a project that has ‘a view’ towards the printed page and is, as it were, *bound* by the model of the book [‘Even when we write on the computer, it is still with a view to the final printing on paper’ (Jacques Derrida, ‘Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)’, *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 46)]. The previous sentence, for example, is found in a virtual volume entitled *mgklvoe.umk,hgzfsvmean*, (p. 220). That book has a specific location within the library, denominated first by Hexagon, then by Wall, Shelf, and Volume. To provide the classmark for this book would comprise the whole length of this essay, but, for practicality’s sake, Library of Babel provides a tool for bookmarking pages. The sentence above can be found at the address within this loop. The nonetheless page-bound structure of *Library of Babel*, and its virtual paper backing, is necessitated by the need to ‘chunk’ data, to process a small enough amount of it at one time that the perceptual apparatus is able to deal with—whether of a human memorising information, or of a web browser loading HTML. To attempt to load the entirety of the *Library of Babel* on a single webpage would present an impossible demand both on the *Library*’s servers and on users’ browsers. Similarly, a sheet of paper large enough to contain the complete text of a book would be hopelessly impractical as an object. Paper helps to delineate the flow of information by designating the beginning and the end. It is an implicitly temporal medium: one progresses from top to bottom and left to right in Western reading cultures, no reading culture entails the simultaneous reading of an entire page. The perception of reading is necessarily sequential and combinatorial; the seemingly instantaneous apprehension of an object as a whole, in which the different aspects of it are combined in the imagination, may take place at the level of the book- or page-as-object, but not within the process of reading *per se*. What might a text look like that eschews beginning and end, and instead presents itself as a continuous

loop, like this text? What sort of anxiety might boundless paper produce? Where should the reading of such a text begin? Basile's *Library of Babel* presents a problem of authority. 'Should one wish to, one might attribute every sentence of a paper to the *Babel* volume in which it has already been written.' [*zo fqvs pgeqmxofn.m*, p. 264 < [https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo\\_fqvs\\_pgeqmxofn.m264](https://libraryofbabel.info/bookmark.cgi?zo_fqvs_pgeqmxofn.m264)>]. The permutational algorithm of the *Babel* online project guarantees as much. While Derrida dreamed of an 'absolute memory' [Jacques Derrida, 'Paper or Me, You Know... (New Speculations on a Luxury of the Poor)', *Paper Machine*, trans. by Rachel Bowlby (Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 2005), p. 65], and Freud sought the technical apparatus that might symbolise the memory [Sigmund Freud, 'A Note Upon the "Mystic-Writing Pad"', in *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works*, XIX: (1923–1925) The Ego and the Id and Other Works, ed. by James Strachey (Hogarth Press: London, 1961), pp. 227–232], Basile's solution to this problem is to dispense with the metaphors of storage altogether:

The new site uses a pseudo-random number generating algorithm to produce the books in a seemingly random distribution, *without needing to store anything on disk*. [...] for any block of text, the program can work backwards to calculate its location in the library (the random seed which would produce that output). [Jonathan Basile, 'Grains of Sand' < <https://libraryofbabel.info/theory4.html>> [accessed 12th February 2016], *my italics*]

Storage without the store. The guarantee of infinite text, and therefore infinite memory and reference, dramatises the endlessly deferred closure of text. Our authorities are typically our last word, figuratively and *to the letter*, when we assign our footnotes and bibliographies to the end of a document, a form that abstracts the *immutable mobile* of Latour ['you have to invent objects which have the properties of being *mobile* but also *immutable*, *presentable*, *readable*, and *combinable with one another*'. Bruno Latour, 'Visualisation and Cognition: Drawing Things Together' < <http://www.bruno-latour.fr/sites/default/files/21-DRAWING-THINGS-TOGETHER-GB.pdf>> [accessed 12th February 2016], p. 7]. Allies are deployed at a distance: footnotes, like paper money, refer to a value present elsewhere. The argumentative firepower of a book or article can be commanded from any location on land or sea; the mustering of excessive footnotes and references is often perceived as a 'defensive' strategy by an insecure or inexperienced author.