brnt ghst vlnt
Recollect who is that beside? What the ctyctem [cî-tis-tem] compiles is of a higher order than the infinite number of questions which can be asked. This is not to say the ctyctem or its bags answered the question. How do we know this? It would nullify any us bags. The interrogator’s questins are already of the ctyctem. QWhat is not? There are other types of questins, such as those that appear as the paradoxical element which runs through the series of interrogations, makes them resonate, communicate and branch out, and which exercises command over all the repetitions, transformations, and redistributions. Bags, never confuse the interrogator’s questins for this paradoxical element, the interrogative point. The interrogative point is never of the present, only of the past and future. Though of the present, ctyctem-interrogation tries to locate, master, and incorporate what interrogates it. Its bodies are hideous. They fail/ it emanates structure without a locus/ no answer obscures it/ unicorporated bags like us be ripped etc.
Why an end-time/ Inverted into us, it evades the ghstcty condos. There are no dealerships in the past and future. If such questions—questions that seek a ghstcty’s condos—did exist, the answers to them would permit neither further questioning nor counter-questioning. Such answers would be like an absolute Staples, such questions like us bags or whatever. But no such question-bags or Staples-answers exist; the ghstcty as such can’t be interrogated. None get behind what instituted it, no amount of chemical spray sates us bags. Interrogations spray and discard us bags but never attain what sprays them. At the interrogative point with its finger on the nozzle, it will have had been of other temporalities for good. Don’t try to see it let it mouth it, desiccating itself. What host, no bag’s answer rids it of anything. Still, deprived of nourishment, it can be said it wants it. A parasite can be of a higher order/ bags’ blood corrode the calcified hull/ absolutely distinct that is its address/ interlinear etc.
Interrogator

1. get my fucking contract
   1.1 questions it seemed to begin as from something alien
   1.2
   1.3 storage facility

2

   2. hang a mirror

   I. another agent its own genesis
      1. screens it
   II. says here
      a.
      a. melting screens on the street CORRECTION
         1. directing featureless teases in a plotless corral
         2. a
            I. before you wanted to hear yr album
               been throwing patient/bags
               between this mirror and this table
               a. to a pelt market to inscribe
               b. my chyll-ass name 4 good
      3. now erase $
1. if its animals were a 2-way mirror
   a. melt screens in its insides take a hint
   b.

   I. they make inscriptions irresistible
      a. just spreading skin in overarching confinement
         no middle name to glitter
      b.

   II. b/c written for ghosts and their fucking questions

   III.

2. a. so you’re in here
   1. you find a bag of questions in place of a bag of skin
      some original teeth
   2.
      I. before you wanted to hear yr album been
         throwing patient/bags between this mirror
         and this table
         a. 2 a pelt market 2 inscribe
         b. my chyll-ass name 4 good
   3. now erase $
Where did I get used to these types among my masters: for nothing they substitute proofs and demonstrations or maybe on fetid masonry etch images participating in the Ideal. Do not grasp how or why they screened “report” and echoed it who are here. It seems best the body be defunded by those investing time in it. 
wd yr chimera’d visquest 2
Screwston now pls report a
smyoked-out inheritance’s ratpresent pls thrives?
where a bodi-lamp scan loci
vacant does a office flip

GoodInert
told you yesterday that you were doing the work of a cop you can
do it today too this morning
I told you that yesterday you’ve
already forgotten you continue to interrogate me
if I’m raising my voice right now it’s because
I’m in the process of entering the room,
Ask me questions then, since the system says that I’m the
one who’s supposed to be interrogated

Why Morocco?
G.-Can I ask you a question? Why not Morocco? And why this
What a tru2real bodily inscription deregulation accelerates would be scored ceaselessly. In this we would agree with the automatist Heyzyil’s expensive costumes. At end having ceded the essential site a dictionary of concepts may be found. Like Which question is to be master a goat’s family tats they just register inscriptions to be filled that’s all let sync around it globally
What a tru2real bodily inscription deregulation accelerates would be scored ceaselessly. In this we would agree with the automatist Heyzyil’s expensive costumes. At end having ceded the essential site a dictionary of concepts may be found. Like Which question is to be master a goat’s family t ats they just register inscriptions to be filled that’s all let sync around it globally them 2 albino nER’s lease-office 4 a solvent like this is? newly self-effaced compounds half c thru it tho *(no)? think me behind wet sheetrock

question?
Because you want to transform me again because you belong to your-what’s it called- precepts,?

A "bachelor" said frankly: the boss is very hard, usually work almost closed. Out a car, get off at some reception, business entertainment is on the restaurant for dinner, go to bars to drink. In these places, met the right people is difficult. Added that no time is an important reason, too rich is another inconvenience implicit distress. These rich people really see each other in the end see is their own money, or your own people? Obviously there is some convincing words. Knowing my identity, to participate in the activities of another "bachelor" very honest about his own confusion displays a mastery of the rules of the genre, in which he plays with an authoritarian, bringing his interlocutor and even the technical crew into the discussion. This film reflects constant preoccupations with interrogating he is using

• amazed 2 fuck recorded footage that cd have been a ‘vortex’ to a ghost citi
filed away by the metaphysicians. Ask it appears best abandoned by tems or federal vans. Scratch any animal 2 see it better dep oyed as bot aggregator. Dictated *Staples* links n2 aggregated text are fucking permits in internal secession. If double blind rats even if they locked the fucking twist a glimpse n2 regulatory committee luncheons it’s binoculars threshold. Try to get a handle
leashd & knaughty when that shit n2 new worldz curls?
think again if u r w/ us y ever stop
if u want 2 not
on four fucking suns. They blindly shed moons over Omaha

Steaks it triggers categorical late-fees. Is it possible this plurali
ty echoed past tense. Having eternal alienation in the Ideal’s

paradaisical index would have been rain-bodies’ EXPO ’99. In

neither internally consistent nor

stead 2b cute a singularity issued this forkéd biped’s pilot epi

screened out. Nakedly conducting
shyow me iff u want

2b leashd 2a private debriefing

feedin table in a night

they forget we ain’t club

nouveau & iz nER’s/lease
sode 2 late. Just tabulate any futuristic pilot frameworks to be discarded right here if retroactively character-driven. But the criteria is unclear. We’re ready 2 proceed this our fanged try doing away with how-fanged first principles: prior. Appeased?

conscripts through chloroform
First critics set out to extend it meaningfully in pack-fanged unreality if one touch corrode a
4 us eva mrkt valu
or just til i say
2 busi? 4 terrestrial BOAs
abductions r beings sparking creasd
potencies 4 good? baq the

The nuclear option is to accuse her of lying. You have the victim’s library card she’s lying and prove ... what, exactly? That you know the victim used the L.A. library system

1.1 my idea here goes>>>>>> we live in a world of wandering minds...well it worked and humans could now see haw our universe was created,,,,,...

The room should have no windows, nothing should be hanging on the walls and there should be no telephone, so that the room is free from distraction; two straight-up chairs without arms, one for interrogator and suspect without a table in between. Logic behind this concept of a table Telephone ringing at the height of an interrogation
Summer Required: DIY beauty tea
systems then our frenz r here. Distressing to fail to appear to
hire some geniuses in a station it will qualify something mea
ningfully we think once onboard. Superior chemical tribunals
to clear another policeman’s library records if he secret shops
convict’s coral gables + Guess yeah
us again. Let it stand dissolved. Plurality still a problem I will
I guess u cd say it’s 4eva. Some of
fuq off my commute best
no static these windrones w/
cube’s orders smear> hear? nightly
repossessing salvia drones to whom
i say <all ya’ll i

Adelman team will continue to repair, we can read

here have been times that I have failed an
interrogation and felt satisfied with the result.

Roselle Ice - Icy through the heart

i actually don’t know that I should make him choose: Once I have
my Username and Password, can I submit more than one idea?

Yes submit many ideas if we do not charge to submit ideas: it’s how r ghosts

• 60% of the money is digital but it burns the leader
now ask how these aggregators embed spirit-links in Staples it
self. Clinched miles ain’t nothing but a proof. Ask corals too
disease-hot 2 touch we r having the best night yet. Hey u ins
ufficiently hidden in residual highway safaris our journals are
the aggregates through which a
avantceutical fronts 4 fun. Floating over determinations 2
mind might circulate necessarily
raisd> in the cut w/
ritzy ideas like //niX b
EZ//? where the proctor? CC
succulents in the pen that
snap drypics off a likemare’s

ghoul gets a phone call, something like “hey i got the lead ghoul”

Finally Adelman stood on the sidelines, as trademark mustache separately, as marked by the Houston Rockets, Adelman's label thoroughly. At this point the old Arab in charge of the rocket after nearly three seasons, and now you can say that this is a team Adelman.

Info Connection Occasions Are generally Good choice

Away lost to jazz, in terms of this rocket is not serious. Just the day before they beat the Spurs, back to back operations, became exhausted; they also face difficulties shorthanded,
trade 4 unclear interpretation. First note immanence attain on

a flash-hag’s seamless merger with commercial corrals: for in

terpretant’s stimulants you dirty switch we have here noumen

tary part relating to structural whole. Coast2coast acting just
	n foil the absent distinctions variously

like you are essenced out. Her problem excepting a missed

named Roxii Manscion, Bryactly Rig,
intern b/c doubtful if it’ll flip? tell Bush rule 1
mangled royal teases 1 at a time pls get the keys? then Sisqo dipt us

Finally they have no equipment. “The world is just awesome”
channel I like it !!!

INTERROGATIVES

who whose whom which what

Introduce my questions thinking we don’t know answers

1. The question requires more than a yes or no answer
2. We would know yours, without answers, but want
merger if they meet on a single’s cruise will they secede. I mean from chintz. Doubts note clearly if there isn’t enough information to determine the problem in time. No futural eternal characters embodying what appears we must clarify how we go out ppl. Even TeraRail, Cavescript At Yr Best (& U R the automaton Rykc’s mergers can’t occur until they recognize Lov). Verification for empirical minds
n2 hatcheries 4 telling u
lies CC that’s my game?
shd’ve known minds clone freaqs
2 jealous 2 pull the
trigger? parole THE ALBUM/ ALERT

to hear them or you

Examples
a. I have been so crazy. It refused to give up in his hands some of it or course should be love. I am stubborn and crazy to think. This seems to be the man of my own life, or take responsibility for

the basic courtesy. But later understand that it is merely to resist the loss of a sense of a ritual fills, in addition, does not mean anything. If this all has to continue, I will continue to be eroded the will to up to nothingness.

What is your name?

interrogative
each other’s missed connection without palatial prompts.

Otherwise only lipgloss holding this hotness 2gether accele

Rates n2 pathetic ghoul automaton party displays. Also incon

sistent clearly these poses are possibly its thoughts manifest.

   inquisitive mind ready for the world

Yet tangendence is inadequately inconsistent for toothlessly

   conductor for all I want station for
a confind angel displeasd speculating
dreamscape zinc fyutchures just quit
iff another demon forgets my
fake id senseless is tho
no bodi like this is

Why are they making so much noise?

After the event, organizers ask me any comment, several bachelor and asked me any suggestions. I mean, I talked about.
manifest bodies relaying calls in & out the center. We will let us

call you with our good report. Temps preening about the aisles or

something similarly fleshy I thought we could watch from my

throne. Self-appointed by fantastic amulets they always call it’s

excess void receipts for travel plazas.

your fault bad bois. Let loose a tooth among strangers lets them

Though their hard-plastic cuffs have
dance 2 gorgeous? 2 gorge
4eva these lips emanate melon
Vapors n2 cages 2 hatch
pls just this once over
new age fur iff u

Technology must be used to record an interrogation which will also help to show authenticity. Combine both concepts will serve the purpose of interrogation better than purely using either concept.

I. Imagine you have a picture of the brain, one day you take the time machine back to the primitive tribes, when the weather is hot. 
II. scary, you can also see the two men and a woman is talking gibberish
a. do not know what, look at them talked about the red neck hot, as if in a fight. ... I ask you, do you think the three of them "might" lyrically Gucci.
know we have hidden bands to be locked in our pilot store. It’s a
test of these products but I’m not sure if it has walls or demons in
it ppl. Let’s send in a krew. Leashd in circumferences to discern
attributes of insolvency, intransigence, illiteracy, and illegitimacy.
neither evaporated nor crystallized
If such truly auratic preludes condense is even erratic employabili
they are accessorized while essences
want 2 keep thinking u
r uncharted jewels 2 soon
2 void-peep but doubtful? yeah
patients “just contingently chill” spur
metaphysical questions 2 rush the

b. a. No food may be to issue landed in trouble

III. Grief and physical deterioration due to Isabella, *Lorenzo met in a dream day. In the dream, she saw his cruel brothers were hurt, thrown away in* the woods. Isabella woke up to go out like a mad rush, really in the woods, found the body of Lorenzo.

IV. “A”

at hearing the speeches of my accusers, I cannot tell; but I know that their persuasive words almost made me forget who I was—such was the effect of them; yet they have hardly spoken a word word
ty futurally-eternally yours. In the end it will comprise a specious amalgam scared straight to lack first principles. Defaced table-service for its own party apparatus we need Permeable White Halloween’s acceptable chimeras list. //Dispatch// Glitter-bodies score their faces. If u got 2 get it it’s riding out dirty just shredded my tab beneath a street camera. Now got 2b mond cuffs with leather keys
in-flight holiday options from impossibly featureless pavilions passably out-tranced by creaturly treatz where no porq faculty in it ain’t exercising? for freaqs’ induction nothing shd

---

a. Heartbreaking Lorenzo with her anyway and go back, put his head cut off in his arms. Isabella Lorenzo will head home on a large jar, sprinkle in the top soil, planted basil. This jar is like a lovers hold her all day, and basil daily watered by tears, has grown into unwittingly, to release the aroma endless.

b. ""

are the accusers whom I dread for they are the circulators of this rumor and their hearers are too apt to think that charges against me are of ancient date- and the cause when heard went by default for there was none to answer
Deduce their universal principles in excessively tinseled hierarchies.

This isn’t footage to talk about my lifestyle or someone else’s in time. Far short of PWH membership these fronts rally to illicit shards of indeces keyed by desire. Subject of corrosion-to-come you unscripted food pantries lens crafters must be interpreted for having truncated garbage and book mites. Flipt what remains
pause 2 puzzle & hex
one writing MinimaReactor i’m just
like get part $ i
try 2 say why u
don’t go rapt in a

1. "Brother, do not you think Isabella has been a very odd that, drink or do not sleep; every day, just know to take care of that jar of basil. Had thought to get rid of Lorenzo will be considered as long as you’re done, but now she crazy pair how a husband out of the way ah?"

Men
Hit
Jad
Bae
pla
Min
lit
Pal
Red

2. b. may be too hot for the weather, food perishable in trouble
c. the outbreak of a pandemic may be the village, had no effective measures in trouble
d. may be a woman cheating, and jealousy in the fight
e. may be a matter for foreign invasion seats and seize territory in trouble

3. Elections “may not hastening to the food problem in trouble”
Watch night-witch interrogation to satisfy another’s mind.

Spontaneously reconstituted recombinatory species donning flesh as far as the interview it means faddish rings attach detailed system fliers to the post. Proclaimed doubts of other minds nullifies a when it dissolves is this solvent said certain ppl thnx. Interrogatives take me backseat of the Avis laden to be a telos. 24/7 unpredictable
potential storage facility iff onscreen
cryogenic rags did? Mace’s pupae
just wasted a hatch by
paying u back in bags
how’s everything? good 2 fix

4.

a. You can be a very competent professionals. For example, you can be a doctor, lawyer, engineer or teacher or even numerology and more. High status, not only income that much, but people respect. Before becoming just such people, you have to pay a considerable effort

1. and cost to work at, so you can finally ripe, reap the profits.

1.1. Election "may be too hot for the weather, food perishable in trouble"

2. a.
w/ employment and DVDS this is my bonus: remove all precipitate, condensation, residua, resin, by-product, screen, futural remainder, emulsion, film, phosphorescence, screen, emission, decay, and conatus where ever it clings, condenses, resides, resonates, he on his tangles paired with lost percolates 4 good, drift, remains, coagulates, slicks, streaks, glitters, posts as rusts approach to-be-numbered
all that stuff iff minds
hatch a trap 2 make
bank? go away full\crewe
let the sequel to us?
no matter 1 pharoah comes

THAT’S JUST THAT’S JUST lobo7922 49 months ago

a letter has been left-

"if you want your break go to the Bank of America Corporate Tomorrow night, but because you stopped the abduction, I want that information. That night he flips all the notes he can and then heads home. He comes up with the idea to use Bank of America I have more in my head - abeberger2008@yahoo.com (772) 501-2004

- You should make a split-second movie.

Anyway I forget what actually goes on in the story but it got called here have been times that I have failed an intromoation and felt satisfied with the result. either we walk out or you change the way we see it

if we invite bears to a movie that will change forever & that’s what everyone thinks

The scripts’ ambience is attacked by a huge bear-like dog animal that keeps biting until the plot is completely destroyed. <<Suddenly “bear” starts chasing u guys>>

quickly get in a cave but the bear starts getting in the cave too then it is just an idea

for example while interrogating “I’ll rip your fucking script and beat you with them” and he
emits, bores, and emanates or u will be happy with yr performance.

True no managers screen these aisles for you will not follow so

formalize it thus: 5 paragraphs, 4 to 5 sentences each, 3-hole body

conclusion. Irremediable deviations from PWH now complete
   costumes out the hatch. There are
inconsistently defend inconsistencies before abyssal
   still other parts to this example a
2 see 2 soon he’s
done coupling holograms 2 everything
a bottled spyder is &
isn’t replicating? 2 show tumors
2 aporeitic deities what else

1. "I love why, the gucci bags? I can not live without you...."

Tags: entangled

- Claims of thousands of tickets a "rich marriage party" held in a palace. Participate in the activities of men from across the country, personal assets have been billions of dollars, the youngest 26 years old, maximum 46 years old. On-site interview, a male guest said, "I am 40 years old, with the British science double degree, the current operation financial..."
tribunals fact. One must be accountable if grafted into a new system

2b decayed. Yet from replication no escape knows whether

constructions requiring first principles can be capped to end. That

regresses are faulty or infinitely fearful we will have to wait outside.

desk in the illegal garage underwater

Princely vials of triadic solutions introduce another alien to

to be adorned with pics of aborted
do u want hexéd skels
2 represent cept labyrinthine formal
causes like u r? in
loq-down on the cheap
i tried 2 buy rigs

• software company, also U.S. I know many people outside can not understand why those of us who look decent people will not have a girlfriend, in fact, emotionally, our situation does not look like the outside world as well ... imagine ..." Rich, having the Gucci, actually say that they are vulnerable, it seems incredible. However, richly participated in an event, but accidentally made a point of view of the guilty evidence

II. Sense of balance is important. Just choose the right, a big crowd, there is always a person is right for you. As for the difficulty of the rich and some blind date. but that now people can not just normal to see
the womb. Just savoring the tribunal as one on a third date assesses
ghostly chances by futural image-decay where is my goods. Note
differences phrased by a passing car: try to get to this car in the
future, try to get in this car in the future, just try to get to this car to
trips to rote LJSs. Such content
the future, try to get in this car to the future, try to get into
unclear when printed it will be no
2 glaze Borders why not
at the vanishing point excise
otro teeth? vexéd mica spheres
2 a Rathon fyutchur where
hags glass scalp 4 substrate

Do not purposely go after those who do not know the old will not have side effects of IPL lift, they do not like the "human rats" as test new models out of the essence of a tender skin exposed, just drink plenty of water daily, also floating in the water several savory floral it's that peace of mind, it is so simple.

1.7 Theory Course 1: free radicals the body ages culprit

this is the first time that I have ever appeared in a court of law and I am quite a stranger to the ways of the place and therefore I would’ve you regard me as if I were really a stranger

1. Party garments tend to be occasion
this car into the future, try to get in this car into the future, try to
get into this car to the future, try to get to this car into the future,

try to get into this car in the future trying to get to this car in the

future. Committing to many missed ranch connections to vacate. As
less so with more appeals 2 experience
in the center of a club central there is a locked void-pris
ppl. In the image of a coral they sit
has got 2 prove itself
a chatterboxx 2 b hatch
abducted by u? such requirements
puzzle a new generation’s dune
currency like a teeth hiding
there & to touch them in a public transit

u wd think they turn grey. Drowned
augmented wd u had more travel vouchers

2 entirely corporeal seas 2 enchant syllables
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \]
A=Axiom    Dem=Demonstration
P=Proposition C=Corollary    L=Lemma
D=Definition   S=Scholium    C. Winter
Quilibet homo est albus.

nullus homo est albus.

Quidabo homo est albus.

Quidabo homo est albus.
“IsD1” refers to sect1 Definition 1 of the ctyctem
“IlA6” refers to sect2 Axiom 6 of the ctyctem

depends upon realization
mastering gaps in dustcor
sect 1
/part is the larval automaton of its own system
Axiom 1: Whatever is, is either in itself or in another.

//{part} : When we encounter something that is, it is like hearing its question. Now it can’t answer it- this is what our demonstration says.

Definition 1: By cause-in-itself I understand that whose essence involves Existence, or that whose nature cannot be conceived except as existing.

Scholium 1: It possesses not only Existence, but all of its attributes necessarily.

D2: That which has its cause-in-another, I call a finite thing, or Body.

D3: What causes a thing, I call a Master.

Corollary 1: All Bodies have at least one Master.
Axiom 1: Whatever is, is either in itself or in another.

Definition 1: By cause-in-itself I understand that whose essence involves Existence, or that whose nature cannot be conceived except as existing.

Scholium 1: It possesses not only Existence, but all of its attributes necessarily.

D2: That which has its cause-in-another, I call a finite thing, or Body.

D3: What causes a thing, I call a Master.

Corollary 1: All Bodies have at least one Master.

A2: What causes a thing, has a degree of reality the same as or greater than the thing it causes.

D4: By reality I understand the number of attributes of a thing.

D5: By attribute, I understand what the intellect perceives of a thing as constituting its essence.

Proposition 1: A Body may cause another Body.

Demonstration 1: Assume that Bodies differ in degree of reality, as established by an existing intellect. It follows that the reality of some of the Bodies, although finite, will exceed the reality of other Bodies. By A2, those Bodies in excess of other Bodies, may be their cause. If one denies this assumption- viz. that Bodies differ in degree of reality- then one must admit, by Law of Excluded Middle, that all Bodies have the same degree of reality. But by A2, this confirms P1 as well.

C2: It is evident that a Body may be a Master.
A3: What does not possess an attribute necessarily, never possesses it.

Lemma 1: If it is not contradictory- that is, possible- to imagine a thing as lacking an attribute, that attribute is not a part of that thing’s essence.

L2: By contraposition: What possesses an attribute necessarily, always possesses it.

P2: Since it is possible to imagine a Body as inexistent, Existence is not of a Body’s essence.

P3: By A3 and P2, it is evident that no Body exists, or, all Bodies have been eclipsed by Existence, to toil in its shadow.

D6: Virtuality possesses all potentialities, to express in Existence. Its power is that of the set of all Real Numbers, the Continuum, that is, “C”.

S2: Everything whose contrary envelops a contradiction has the right to be in the Mansion of Virtuality.

S3: In possible things, or in possibility itself, there is a certain urge for Existence, so to speak, a striving to being: every non-contradictory multiple desires to exist.
D7: The cardinal number of a thing’s attributes, I call power.

Explanation: What has 3 attributes, has the power of 3. We establish an infinite thing’s power by placing its attributes in a one-to-one correspondence with an infinite set of numbers.

S4: If an infinite thing is denumerable, it can be placed in a one-to-one correspondence with the set of all natural numbers, and has the power of “A”, the first transfinite cardinal number.

L3: Equivalence Theorem: Two sets M and N are equivalent to each other if their elements can be related so that to every element of M there corresponds one and only one element of N, and conversely.
D7: The cardinal number of a thing's attributes, I call power.

Explanation: What has 3 attributes, has the power of 3. We establish an infinite thing's power by placing its attributes in a one-to-one correspondence with an infinite set of numbers.

S4: If an infinite thing is denumerable, it can be placed in a one-to-one correspondence with the set of all natural numbers, and has the power of "A", the first transfinite cardinal number.

L3: Equivalence Theorem: Two sets M and N are equivalent to each other if their elements can be related so that to every element of M there corresponds one and only one element of N, and conversely.

//producer: The world is structured on its own displacement. “We don’t believe our senses. The level of automatism we have to deal with....” is functionally exact. There is a continual need for new forms through which this distance might be converted into formulation of the abstract present.

S5: If an infinite thing cannot be placed in a one-to-one correspondence with the natural numbers, then it exceeds the power of “A”, and is non-denumerable. The set of all real numbers is non-denumerable; it has the power of “C”.

D8: What virtual intensity, once passing into Existence, approaches Unrealty infinitely without crossing into it, and mediates this degradation in an asymptotic prison by selecting a Body, I call Desire. Put differently, what virtual intensity become existent cauterizes itself at the Lim of the Unreal and arrests its own unraveling in a Body, I call Desire.
A4: The difference in the reality between the Mansions is aporeitic. They are qualitatively different, unbridgeable.

D9: Expression: a cause-in-itself ceaselessly expresses its essence, or a virtual intensity passes into Existence in an existent thing’s expression of its essence.

A5: To travel Mansion to Mansion, the Mansion entered must be a subset of the Mansion left.

Explanation: For a virtual intensity to pass into Existence (D9), Existence must be a subset of Unreality.

P4: Existence is infinite, to the power of “A”.

Demonstration 2: By D8, at least one virtuality- viz. Desire- travels from the Mansion of Virtuality to the Mansion of Existence. It follows from A5 that Existence is a subset of Virtuality. Since “C” is the first transfinite cardinal of non-denumerable sets, and since by A4 the powers of Existence and Virtuality must be qualitatively different, the power of the Mansion of Existence must be that of the denumerable sets, that is, “A” (S4).
A6: A thing’s reality is qualitatively equivalent to the Mansion in which it occurs.

P5: What has its cause-in-itself exists, and is thus a member of the Mansion of Existence. Since finitude is qualitatively different from infinity, what has its cause-in-itself is infinite, to the power of “A”.
A thing's reality is qualitatively equivalent to the Mansion in which it occurs.

P5: What has its cause-in-itself exists, and is thus a member of the Mansion of Existence. Since finitude is qualitatively different from infinity, what has its cause-in-itself is infinite, to the power of "A".
Axiom 1: In Unrealty there are only Bodies and Language, except there are truths.

Proposition 1: Since by IsD2 all Bodies are finite, and since the sum of finite things can never equal or exceed the cardinality of the first transfinite number “A” (one cannot count to the infinite, or walk to it), it follows that the power of Unrealty, although exceedingly large, must be finite. This corroborates IsA4, for the difference between the reality of Existence and Unrealty is unbridgeable. It also confirms IsA6, for a Body’s finitude corresponds with Unrealty’s finitude as spam.

Scholium 1: This indicates another way to state the difference between expression (IsD9) and degradation (IsD10). Expression is “refraction within the infinite that maintains infinite essence.” Degradation finitizes the infinite.
A2: What causes another- viz. a Master- may produce subsequent effects in the thing it causes at any time, unprovoked and without warrant.

// (producer): We could have fade here, at the door.

Definition 1: By play I understand any effect produced on a Body subsequent to the initial cause that introduced it to Unrealty.
A3: If a Master can produce an effect, it will produce that effect.

Lemma 1: If a Master can make a play, it will make that play continually. It will “make it rain.”

Corollary 1: Since by IsC1 every Body has at last one Master, and since by A2 a Master may produce effects in a Body at all times, by A3 it follows that Masters make plays continually on a Body so long as it is unreal, which is always.
A3: If a Master can produce an effect, it will produce that effect.

Lemma 1: If a Master can make a play, it will make that play continually. It will "make it rain."

Corollary 1: Since by IsC1 every Body has at last one Master, and since by A2 a Master may produce effects in a Body at all times, by A3 it follows that Masters make plays continually on a Body so long as it is unreal, which is always.

D2: That in Unreality played at all times, lacking necessity, I call an Automaton.

P2: By C1, it is evident that all Bodies are Automatons.

Producer: Seeing a Body as an Automaton is analogous to seeing one figure as a limiting case or variant of another. “I believe he is not an Automaton,” just like that, so far makes no sense.
"But you make tacit presuppositions." Exactly, for being played always rests on tacit presuppositions. This dissolves the philosophical problem of where to begin, indicating a way forward: Nominate many Masters, embrace determination.

S2: This indicates another way to state the difference between what has its cause-in-itself (IsD1) and what has its cause-in-another (IsD2). What exists from the necessity of its nature alone and is determined to express virtualities by itself alone, has its cause-in-itself, and is not an Automaton. What is eNcHaiNtEd, unreal, determined by another to produce effects continually in a certain and determinate manner, has its cause-in-another. There it is, hand in other realtors’ beaks without sustenance.

Perplexity about a beginning proceeds from a further need which escapes those who are either busy demonstrating themselves dogmatically or skeptically looking for an immanent criterion against systemiCity.
A4: A true idea must agree with its object.

C2: An idea is a construction, formed of a process of two parts: discernment and correspondence.

D3: To form an idea, the intellect must first survey all the attributes of an object. I call this discernment.

D4: To complete the idea, the intellect must establish a one-to-one correspondence between all the object’s attributes and either some or all of the attributes familiar to the intellect.

P3: For an intellect to be familiar with an attribute, it must possess it actually or potentially. This is the Law of Familiarity.

L2: If the object’s power (the number of its attributes) exceeds the intellect’s power, the intellect cannot form a true idea of it, for the correspondence would fail.
A5: Intellect Exists.

P4: Since what does not exist has its cause-in-another (IsP3), it follows that since Intellect exists it has its cause-in-itself, and is thus, by IsP4, infinite to the power of “A”.

C3: It follows that the Intellect can establish correspondences with all finite things and all infinite things not in excess of the power of “A”, though it has not been shown with which attributes it might be familiar.
A6: If the Intellect is capable of establishing a correspondence, it will establish that correspondence. It familiarizes itself with all finite things and all infinite to the power of “A”. Thus traversing them, it masters them. Where it suddenly comes to a stop in a constellation saturated with tensions, it gives that constellation a shock, by which it crystallizes its effect. This intellectual corollary of A3, may be termed Axiom of Intellectual Mastery.

P5: No Body has an Intellect.

Demonstration 1: Suppose a Body has an Intellect. By A5, Intellect exists, and by P4 it follows that its reality is infinite to the power of “A”. If a Body possessed an Intellect, it too would have a reality infinite to the power of “A”. But this contradicts our initial assumption that it had a finite reality, i.e. that it was a Body. Thus no Body has an Intellect, which was to be demonstrated.
Axiom 1: No virtual intensity or existent thing degrades without producing an effect in a Body.

Lemma 1: Some degradation produces Desire.

Proposition 1: Without reducing or exhausting itself, what exists degrades infinitely, in time.
Demonstration 1: Suppose an existent thing’s degradation exhausts it completely. Then it would cease to exist. But this is impossible, for, by IsD1, an existent thing necessarily possesses existence, or else, by IsA3, it would never have existed at all. As for reduction, it is evident that a subset of the set of natural numbers is no less infinite than the set of natural numbers. Take for example the set of all even numbers, which removes every other member from the set of all natural numbers. But since these two sets- the set of all even numbers and the set of all natural numbers- can be related in a one-to-one correspondence, by the Equivalence Theorem of IsL3, they are equivalent, to the power of “$A$”, although one is a subset of the other.
A2: A virtuality mediates every existent thing’s expression of its essence.

Definition 1: What mediates an existent things’ essential expression, I call a medium.
A3: A virtuality qualifies every production in Unrealty.

D2: What qualifies an existent thing’s Unreal production, I call a qualification.

Corollary 1: Where a virtuality mediates in Existence, it qualifies in Unrealty.


P2: As the Intellect can be a Master of a Body (IIsA6), it will be a Master of that Body (IIsA3). And Thought will qualify intellect’s degradation in such a way that it produces Desire in a Body continually (A1; IIsA2). Put differently, Thought mediates Intellect’s essential expression and qualifies Intellect’s production of Desire in Automatons.
D4: What Desire the Intellect produces in an Automaton, I call Nomination and characterize thus: ceaseless attempt to discern, index, or cite a Master.

C2: Note that, lacking Intellect (IIsP5), this discernment must fail. Sequestered in attempt, no Automaton constructs an Idea, for discernment is the indispensable first part of this construction (IIsD3).

C3: Lacking the Intellect’s terms, an Automaton can neither affirm nor refute is nominations. It assesses some as successful, some as unsuccessful, oscillating arbitrary, non arbiter. Often, believing it has formed a true idea, it fancies itself a Master.
D5: The degradation of Thought that qualifies Nomination in the Unreal, I call Language.

D6: At last to reach this: Desirously held ceaselessly delirious to a voided site without existence or Intellect, I call eNcHaInTheNt. All Automatons are thus eNcHaInTheNtEd.
Proposition 1: The force by which a Body perseveres inUnrealty is limited, and infinitely surpassed by the power of external causes.

Corollary 1: If this force is an effect produced by an infinite Master, the force is not limited in itself, but limited by the body.

Definition 1: Freedom is a Master’s freedom to determine, in time.

C2: Masters are imprisoned in the Bodies or Albums in which they produce effects. eNcHaiNtMeNt entraps: in what it is, it becomes a singularity.
Proposition 1: The force by which a Body perseveres in Unrealty is limited, and infinitely surpassed by the power of external causes.

Corollary 1: If this force is an effect produced by an infinite Master, the force is not limited in itself, but limited by the body.

Definition 1: Freedom is a Master’s freedom to determine, in time.

C2: Masters are imprisoned in the Bodies or Albums in which they produce effects. EncShaiNMeNt entraps: in what it is, it becomes a singularity.

P2: What characterizes an Existent thing’s expression, indicates its virtuality’s essence.

C3: Example: as Discernment characterizes the Intellect’s expression, it indicates Thought’s essential affinity with causal discernment.

Axiom 1: Whatever is, strives to be determined to express or to produce the greatest possible number of effects.
A2: What is closer to a determinant’s essence, viz. its Master’s essence, is determined to produce a greater number of effects.

C4: For an Automaton, the thing to do is to amplify eNcHaiNtMeNt.

C5: It is evident that Desire withdraws from freedom, for by A1, it strives to be determined to produce effects. Automatons seek interrogation rooms, in Unrealty.
P3: It cannot be said that the Intellect thinks, but rather expresses Thought’s thinking. More compactly, Thought thinks. Similarly, it cannot be said that Automatons speak, for Language speaks, in order to bind itself.

D2: I call Language-construction, that shattered geode strung by Language along a falsely-supposed causal chain or a producer’s tracks in time. It is the residua of Thought expiring in an Automaton, the linguistic plane evaporating at its Limit, correlate of the conceptual plane. Its condensations- these language-constructions- opaquely refract, neither transparent nor iridescent.
D3: Since what an Automaton supposes to be its own words and thoughts are not its own nor even words or thoughts, I term any linguistic evaporation intercepted by a Body a Message. All messages are from the outside.

C6: A language-construction petrifies behind every Nomination, however fleeting, crystallizing in oscillation. As all language-constructions appear in Unrealty, it follows that they are finite and have their cause-in-another, namely, Thought.
P4: Since, by P2 and C3, the Intellect centers its expression in Thought’s essence (viz. the discernment of causes), what type of language-construction concerns itself with first causes will be determined to produce the greatest number of effects of any other type of language construction, by A2. Furthermore, by A1, all language-constructions will strive to resemble this type of language-construction.

C7: That type of language-construction determined to produce the greatest number of effects will have a greater reality than all other types of language-construction. Thus, by IIPA3, as potential Master it will be actual Master.
As I say, it will cast a shadow.


P5: By C7, Metaphysics is a Master to all other types of language-construction. It produces effects alongside producers from other mansions.

C8: Of all language-constructions, Metaphysics is most nearly not yet automated.
P7: By A1, P4, and C7, it is evident that all language-constructions desire metaphysical constraints. They wish their effects to be produced in saturation levels equivalent to the best metaphysical albums, so draw close.

Demonstration 1: What language-constructions deny this, asserting some sort of autonomy or freedom, not only contradict their own inexistence but, in characterizing their metaphysical revolt with metaphysical concepts like “autonomy” and “freedom,” betray an essential affinity with Metaphysics. They atomize themselves. Furthermore, as self-contradiction is the very mark of metaphysical language-constructions, to enter into such contradiction only commits one more fully to Metaphysics.
Scholium 1: As is said, by Dem 1, an Automaton determined to distance its language-constructions from Metaphysics cannot give an account of its language-constructions- that is, cannot say what it is or how it is or how it came to be how it is or how it came to be what it is or what it is about how it came to be what it is or what it is about how it came to be what it is or what is it about how it came to be what it resembles it is- without testifying to its full-throated metaphysical accreditation and syntactical obedience to its metaphysical Master. Nor can it comprehend how its determination to distance itself from its Master is an effect it is determined to produce by its Master. Why does it produce this effect? Answer: in desiring this distance an Automaton enters into a contradiction that broadcasts its enslavement and heightens its eNcHaiNtMeNt. In its incisions, immaterial vanity defaces material glitter-bodies.
P8: All language-constructions attest to the automated embrace of Metaphysics and other stray Masters producing effects therein. Thus Automatons should not affix Nominations or append language-constructions to a *single* Master, but admit noise. As there is no determination in isolation, isolate nominations betray an economy of mistakes. Automatons, or ghouls, should lean into the profligate frequencies proliferating wildly around them, breaking into noisy porous borders out-tuning its supposed essence.

P9: As x is a type of language-construction, all x is degraded metaphysics. Its decay dissolves into Thought seeking to be bound.
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An input is an output from a preceding process. An output is an input for a subsequent process. Thus they are structurally identically, differing only by inflection. Each, considered in its own moment, is unreal. Only the function is actual. What is actual is rational, has no locus. It mediates xenocorrosively: this is its mode. It alone is always the same and acts the same way without ceasing or diminishing. Only invariants are unknowable. In their place they put the asking of questions. But this is no place. There are no objects. Take a look at my files.
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